

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/770,830	01/26/2001	Richard Braun .	SCHWP0126US	7288
	7:	590 09/13/2002			
	RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP Nineteenth Floor 1621 Euclid Avenue			EXAMINER	
				LIN, JEOYUH	
	Cleveland, OH				
	Cicveland, Off	77113-2171		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3737	
				DATE MAILED: 09/13/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
			Cd				
Office Action Summary	09/770,830	BRAUN ET AL.					
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-				
The MAILING DATE of this communication of	Jeoyuh Lin	3737					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	tn tne correspondence address -	-				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuent and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON after cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communica ANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)	ition.				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26</u>	S January 2001 .						
	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-8 and 10-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>3 and 9</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/Application Papers	or election requirement.						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	•						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in n		oupprovou by the Examiner.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	an priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		plication No.					
 Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International B 	<u> </u>						
) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
_a)	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. i) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes Attachment(s)	suc priority under 35 U.S.C.	39 120 and/or 121.					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	0				

Application/Control Number: 09/770,830 Page 2

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 4-7 and 12 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to the other claims in the alternative only, and cannot not depend on any other multiple-dependent claims. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4-7 and 12 are not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

-Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 13 and 14 provides for the use of an automatic guided transport system, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced. See Ex parte Erlich, 3 USPQ2d 1011 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1986), and MPEP 2173.05 (q).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Application/Control Number: 09/770,830

Art Unit: 3737

Page 3

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

-Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- -Claims 1, 2, 8, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Damadian et al. (US 6,414,490 B1).

Damadian teaches an MR-assisted surgical system, comprising the following structures:

- -Treatment support, in this case, a patient support for imaging and treatment.
- -Automatically guided transport system. (Column 5, lines 15-20)

Application/Control Number: 09/770,830

Art Unit: 3737

-Wherein the transportation means comprises a movable vehicle. (Column 5, lines 58-63)

-Claims 1, 2, 8, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Acker et al (US 6,128,522).

Acker teaches an MR guided therapeutic unit, comprising an automatic-feedback portable MRI chassis positioner with optoelectronic encoders to provide signals indicating the positions of the movable elements in the positioner.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

-Damadian et al. (US 6,404,202 B1) teaches an MR imaging device and an apparatus for treatment support, in this case, a patient support for imaging and treatment, comprising automatically guided transport system. (Column 5, lines 18-25), wherein the transportation means comprises a movable vehicle. (Column 5, lines 58-63)

-Taylor et al. (US 6,231,526 B1, US 5,976,156, US 5,950,629, US 5,402,801, US 5,445,166, and US 5,695,500) teaches a computer-operated surgical equipment guide device, comprising the use of LED emitters and sensors to automatically guide robotic surgical device mounted on various tracks into position.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeoyuh Lin whose telephone number is (703) 306-5990. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f, 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marvin Lateef can be reached on (703) 308-3256. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-0758 for regular communications and (703) 308-0758 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Man h

THL

JYL September 6, 2002

Marvin M. Lateef
Supervisory Paterit Examiner
Group 3700